Bhagavadgitā

One who has that knowledge is called $mah\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. Generally the word $mah\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, is used for any $s\bar{a}dhu$, any saint. In that case, the word $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ refers to the antah-karana and the word $mah\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ indicates a person whose mind or heart is very big. But here, because of the context, $mah\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ is the one whose $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ is Brahman. There is no one equal to that $mah\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ because you cannot improve upon limitlessness. He is a $mah\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. And he is very difficult to find. This is why, even though all are beloved, the $j\bar{n}\bar{a}n\bar{i}$ is distinguished.

In this verse Krsna has said that it is very difficult to find a $mah\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, who knows everything is $V\bar{a}sudeva$. Even though only devotees are being discussed here, all of them are not able to recognise $V\bar{a}sudeva$ as $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. Why is it so difficult to recognise that the Lord is everything? This is the reason.

कामैस्तैस्तैर्हृतज्ञानाः प्रपद्यन्तेऽन्यदेवताः। तं तं नियममास्थाय प्रकृत्या नियताः स्वया।। २० ।। kāmaistaistairhṛtajñānāḥ prapadyante'nyadevatāḥ taṃ taṃ niyamamāsthāya prakṛtyā niyatāḥ svayā

Verse 20

तै: तै: कामै: taiḥ taiḥ kāmaiḥ — by those particular desires; हृतज्ञाना: hṛta-jñānāḥ — whose discrimination is robbed away; तम् तम् नियमम् tam tam niyamam — whatever are the stipulations for that; आस्थाय āsthāya — following; प्रकृत्या स्वया prakṛtyā svayā — by their own dispositions; नियता: niyatāḥ — driven; अन्यदेवता: anya-devatāḥ — other (lesser) gods; प्रपद्यन्ते prapadyante — they worship

Those whose discrimination is robbed away by their own particular desires, driven by their own dispositions, worship other gods following what is stipulated.

DESIRES ROB ONE OF DISCRIMINATION

 $Hrta-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}n\bar{a}h$ — are those whose discrimination is robbed away. Hrta means robbed, taken away, and what is robbed is $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$, discrimination. If they do not have discrimination between $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, and $an\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, what they want will not be very clear to them.

How have they been robbed of their discrimination? $K\bar{a}maih$ taih taih — by those desires. Because desires differ from person to person, the plural is used here. Each person has his own unique desires and by those he is robbed of his discrimination. Without an object you cannot have a desire. So, Śankara says here that their discrimination is robbed away by objects like son, wealth, heaven and so on — putra-paśu-svargādi viṣayaih. Putra is son. If one has money, one wants a heir. If he has no money, even though he has nothing to give, he still wants a son. Perhaps the hope

Chapter 7

is that the son will improve his lot. Each one wants to continue to live in the form of his son. A man also wants a son to continue the family name. And every woman has an inbuilt desire to have a child because there is a natural fulfilment there. If she chooses not to, it is because of other problems. In Indian society there is also a religious reason to have a son. Only a son can perform the funerary rites of a parent. Putra also stands for $k\bar{a}ma$, all forms of pleasure. This desire for progeny is one of the most powerful desires and is therefore, mentioned separately. Paśu, cattle, is the symbol for all forms of wealth. In an agricultural society, the number of cattle a person had indicated the amount of land he owned. Svarga is heaven. The word $\bar{a}di$ meaning etc., indicates power, fame, and so on.

By these various objects of desire, people are $hrta-j\tilde{n}\bar{a}nas$, those who are robbed of their discrimination. Because of the predominance of the desires for various things, discrimination between $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, and $an\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, or between nitya and anitya does not arise in such people. They are too busy fulfilling their desires.

THEY IMPLORE OTHER GODS

Anya-devatāḥ prapadyante — they propitiate other $devat\bar{a}s$. In doing so, they meet with a number of obstructions. To ward these off and enhance the results of their efforts, they invoke $\bar{I}\dot{s}vara$ in the form of different $devat\bar{a}s$. They implore — prapadyante, other gods — anya- $devat\bar{a}s$. There are prayers to invoke a specific $devat\bar{a}$ for a specific result and for certain results there are certain specified rituals. So, to fulfil their desires they invoke various other $devat\bar{a}s$.

All the $devat\bar{a}s$ they worship are looked upon as other than $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, which is $V\bar{a}sudeva$. They do not think about $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, being $V\bar{a}sudeva$ and that they have to gain this knowledge. The desire for knowledge, $jij\bar{n}\bar{a}s\bar{a}$, does not arise in them.

EACH IS IMPELLED BY HIS OWN DISPOSITION

Driven by their own dispositions — $svay\bar{a}$ $prakrty\bar{a}$ $niyat\bar{a}h$ — they approach other $devat\bar{a}s$ for what they want or they go to deva-loka and become $devat\bar{a}s$ themselves as a result of their worship. When the same result can be achieved by worshipping any deity why should one choose a given deity? It all depends upon his own $samsk\bar{a}ra$, tendency. Perhaps he had worshipped that deity in his previous life, or in this life. Because of what was done before, certain things attract, certain things do not. Even though they may not have prejudices against other deities, still, one attracts. So, they follow that particular form of worship — all for the fulfilment of their own unique set of desires.

Bhagavadgitā

WORSHIP IS ACCORDING TO STIPULATIONS

How do they worship? Following a particular stipulation — tam tam niyamam $\bar{a}sth\bar{a}ya$ — they invoke a given $devat\bar{a}$. The repetition, tam tam, indicates that according to each desire, there is a particular type of worship available. If one wants a son, he cannot perform a ritual which is meant to bring rain. He will perform $putra-k\bar{a}mesti$, a particular ritual meant for the birth of a son. There are rules about, how to perform this ritual, who are the $devat\bar{a}s$ involved, what are the oblations, what are the mantras, and what are the gifts to be given. All these are called niyamas, rules. $\bar{A}sthaya$ means 'following these rules or stipulations.'

Driven by their own disposition, following a particular set of rules, they worship $devat\bar{a}s$ other than $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, $V\bar{a}sudeva$. There is nothing wrong in this. The only problem is that they are only interested in dharma or artha or $k\bar{a}ma$. So, it becomes very difficult to see that $V\bar{a}sudeva$ is all this. To gain that vision they have to see the limitations of these desires and pursue the understanding of $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. And for that they must have nitya-anitya-viveka. The desire for moksa must be there. If it is not, the desire for dharma, artha and $k\bar{a}ma$ loom large in their minds.

Even Arjuna, up to now, was only interested in dharma-artha-kāma. He became interested in mokṣa only a few chapters ago, a few hours ago, perhaps. Since Kṛṣṇa started talking to him, only an hour or two would have passed. Before that Arjuna was interested in fighting and in establishing dharma.

Therefore, robbed of their discrimination by various desires, driven by their particular disposition, they propitiate different $devat\bar{a}s$ according to the stipulations. As a result, they don't come to Me. This applies to all forms of religion where $\bar{I}\dot{s}vara$ is other than you. In the next verse, Lord Krsna says that in whichever form they worship, in that form I bless them.

यो यो यां तनुं भक्तः श्रद्धयार्चितुमिच्छति। तस्य तस्याचलां श्रद्धां तामेव विदधाम्यहम्।। २१ ।। yo yo yāṃ yāṃ tanuṃ bhaktaḥ śraddhayārcitumicchati tasya tasyācalāṃ śraddhāṃ tāmeva vidadhāmyaham

Verse 21

यः यः yaḥ yaḥ — whoever; भक्तः bhaktaḥ — the devotee; याम् याम् yām yām — whichever; तनुम् tanum — particular form; श्रद्धया śraddhayā — with faith; अचितुम् arcitum — to worship; इच्छिति icchati — he desires; तस्य तस्य tasya tasya — for each one of them; ताम् एव श्रद्धाम् tām eva śraddhām — indeed that same śraddhā; अचलाम् acalām — unshakeable; विद्धामि अहम् vidadhāmi aham — I make

Whoever be the devotee and in whichever form (of a $devat\bar{a}$) he wishes to worship with faith, indeed that same faith, I make firm for him.

Chapter 7

Yah yah bhaktah — whoever be the devotee, whether he be an $\bar{a}rta$, a devotee in distress, or an $arth\bar{a}rth\bar{i}$, someone who wants help for his accomplishments, $y\bar{a}m$ $y\bar{a}m$ tanum — whichever particular form, $\dot{s}raddhay\bar{a}$ arcitum icchati — he desires to worship with faith, I make that faith unshakeable — so says $Bhagav\bar{a}n$. Bhakta here is a person whose primary concern is fulfilling his desires; but because he has $\dot{s}raddh\bar{a}$, he is a devotee rather than a simple $k\bar{a}m\bar{i}$. This restricts the meaning of bhakta to an $\bar{a}rta$ and an $arth\bar{a}rth\bar{i}$. He will invoke the Lord in a particular form, tanu, according to his $\dot{s}raddh\bar{a}$; but because he does not see that $V\bar{a}sudeva$ is everything, he propitiates a particular aspect of the Lord and performs a specific ritual invoking that form of $devat\bar{a}$.

With $\acute{s}raddh\bar{a}$ he desires to worship, or to praise a particular form of $devat\bar{a}$. According to his understanding he may insist that this is the only form of the Lord or he may accommodate other forms of worship.

THE LORD HIMSELF ESTABLISHES A DEVOTEE'S ŚRADDHĀ

The Lord says 'tasya acalām śraddhām vidadhāmi aham. The important thing here is $\acute{s}raddh\bar{a}$. Earlier $K_{rs,n}a$ had said that, the one who has $\acute{s}raddh\bar{a}$ gains knowledge of the identity of the individual and Iśvara — śraddhāvān labhate jñānam. In this verse he says whatever $śraddh\bar{a}$ he now has, that, I make it firm, unshakeable, for him — tasya acalām śraddhām vidadhāmi aham. For the one whose śraddhā manifests as a worship of a particular devatā for a particular result, I make his śraddhā firm. How? By giving the results. Suppose someone performs a particular ritual and he does not get the promised result, then his śraddhā will quickly disappear. I make sure that it does not by giving the results. They are doing karmas for which results are to be given. That result I give. Even though they are worshipping only a fraction of me, even though they don't worship me totally, still I make firm whatever śraddhā they have. I am available in the particular form of devatā that they invoke. No devatā is separate from Me but I am more than these devatās. The difficulty is that these devotees think that this particular devatā is Īśvara. But I do not disturb that at all. I give them results only according to their śraddhā. If I were to interfere and tell them, 'I am you,' it would not help because they are not ready for it. Even if the Lord appears before such people, they will ask for a promotion. They already have a certain firmness in their śraddhā. I make it more firm by giving the result. This he tells in the next verse.

> स तया श्रद्धया युक्तस्तस्याराधनमीहते। लभते च ततः कामान् मयैव विहितान् हि तान्।। २२ ।। sa tayā śraddhayā yuktastasyārādhanamihate labhate ca tatah kāmān mayaiva vihitān hi tān

Verse 22

There are two different ways of reading the second line of this verse as given

 $^{^{1}}$ $G\bar{i}t\bar{a} - 4-39$